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Summary
The presence of a meat market at Corinth may be ascertained by a pair of Latin inscriptions. They record the gifts of the building by a member of the local social elite probably in the Augustan period. A third Greek graffito sometimes associated in modern literature with the macellum is irrelevant to the debate. The layout of the Corinthian market can be deduced from the similar situations in the Roman empire.

I. Introduction
The identification of the meat-market (macellum) at Corinth has long been of interest to scholars as it was here that Christians were buying food which had been offered in sacrifice. Paul in his discussion of food offered to idols describes food being acquired ἐν μακέλλῳ, the hellenised form of the Latin macellum. The Greek form of the word had been noted from Greek inscriptions in Asia Minor and Greece itself.

II. The Macellum Inscriptions
During the American excavations at Corinth, there was some anticipation that remains of the structure would be found. F.J. de Waele, for example, argued that the macellum was probably situated in the area of the peribolos of Apollo beside the Lechaion Road. Part

2Cadbury, ‘Macellum’ 135. See also BCH 10 (1886) 420; 17 (1893) 261 no. 45; 20 (1896) 126. Such buildings appeared in the province of Achaia from the reign of Augustus onwards; at Sparta the Greek loan-word μακέλλον is found, P. Cartledge and A.J.S. Spawforth, Hellenistic and Roman Sparta: A Tale of Two Cities (London, Routledge 1989) 130.
of the excitement had been aroused by the discovery of a fragmentary marble inscription in 1898 which seemed to refer to the structure.\textsuperscript{4}

The inscription reads:

\begin{verbatim}
Q(uintus) Co[\textit{r}]nelius [. \textit{f}ilius] [\textit{A}em(ilia) Secundus \textit{et}
Maec\{ia Q(uintus)] f(ilia) vxor [\textit{eius} [. \textit{[Cornelius Secundus M]a[e]cianus
f(ilius) Q(uintus) Corn[elius]
Secu[ndus f(\textit{Co}rn\textit{elia Secunda f eius vxor Q(uintus) M]a[e]ci Q(uintus)
l(\textit{ibertus) Cleogen[is]
macell\textit{u}m[---------------- cum ------
inea loc [----------------
\end{verbatim}

West, followed by Kent, took this pair of inscriptions to refer to a separate \textit{Macellum Piscarium}. The crucial penultimate lines of the inscriptions read:

\begin{verbatim}
macell\textit{u}m[--- cum ---]et pi[scario ---]
[macellum ---cum pi]scario et bilac ---
\end{verbatim}

However this interpretation has been challenged.\textsuperscript{5} Nabers has argued that it is unlikely that the \textit{Cornellii} would provide two separate buildings which would serve the same function. As other inscriptions from \textit{macella} include the phrase \textit{macellum cum} in order to list features of the complex such as \textit{tholoi}, it seems likely that the \textit{macellum} at Corinth was equipped with additional elements which included the provision for fish. The rarity of the words do not allow for a more exact translation. The inscription would thus read:

Quintus Cornelius Secundus, son of [---], of the tribe Aemilia, and his wife Maecia, daughter of [Quintus], his son [--- Cornelius Secundus] Maecianus, his son Quintus Cornelius Secundus, his [daughter] Cornelia [Secunda, who is the wife of Quintus] Maecius Cleogenes the freedman of Quintus

\textsuperscript{4}The fragment reads MACELL\textit{u}V. Other parts of the same inscription, along with a parallel dedication were published in A.B. West, \textit{Latin Inscriptions 1896-1926 Corinth 8:2}, (Cambridge (Mass.), American School of Classical Studies 1931) nos. 124-5, with a further fragment found in the South Basilica being added by J.H. Kent, \textit{The inscriptions 1926-1950 Corinth 8:3}, (Princeton (NJ), American School of Classical Studies) no. 321, along with changes to West, \textit{op. cit.}, no. 124. These inscriptions are presumably to what Fee refers, although without reference: ‘a Latin inscription of the \textit{macellum} in Corinth has been discovered, undoubtedly the very one Paul is referring to here’. G.D. Fee, \textit{The First Epistle to the Corinthians} (Grand Rapids, Eerdmans 1987) 480 n. 20.

(Maecius), [built (?)] the meatmarket [---] along with [---] and facilities for fish [-----].

The inscription itself seems to be Augustan in date, and thus the gift of a *macellum* to the newly established colony would be one of several buildings presented by members of the local social elite. The presence of parallel benefactor inscriptions on the same building is not unknown.

### III. The Loukios Inscription

Cadbury in his discussion of the Latin inscription had noted that O. Broneer had been excavating alongside the Lechaion Road in one of the possible locations for the *macellum*. Further excitement concerning the location of the building was aroused in April 1936 when an inscribed block was found in the cryptoporticus of the South Basilica. This had been a wall block from the South Stoa (adjoining the forum) and over traces of Greek stucco was a coat of Roman plaster into which were scratched two lines of Greek text. These two lines were thought to read:

\[
\begin{align*}
\Lambda\Omega\Upsilon\Kappa\iota\omicron\varsigma
\\
\Lambda\omicron\omicron\ Lambda\iota\omicron
\end{align*}
\]

*Loukios the butcher*

Such a reading would have confirmed Cadbury’s suggestion about the possibility of discovering the *macellum* in Broneer’s trench, and thus the following year Broneer announced the find and drew attention to its apparent relevance to the debate about the *macellum* at Corinth.

After the initial excitement, Broneer seems to have reassessed the evidence and realised that the second word need not be completed as a banausic, but rather that it could also be a name. Thus when he came

---


7Nabers, *op. cit.*, 73.

to publish the inscription in the monograph on the South Stoa, he had revised his opinion supplying the text as:

ΛΟΥΚΙΟϹ
ΚΑΝ[ΙΟ]Ϲ

Loukios Kanios

Broneer realized that this inscription was the Greek version of a Latin name, Lucius Canius. A further possibility, is to replace the nomen Kanios by the toponym Kanaios. Thus the inscription would read ‘Loukios from the city of Canae (in Aeolis)’. Finally, the second word could be providing a ‘nickname’ for Lucius, such as (skinny’) κάν[ιο]Ϲ or κάμ[ιο]Ϲ (‘monster’). Although archaeologists and epigraphists are aware of the changed reading of the inscription, Broneer’s original text occasionally continues to be cited by those involved in New Testament studies.

IV. The Form of Macella

Although the macellum at Corinth has yet to be identified with certainty—there is a possibility that it will be found in the area around the Lechaion Road—the type of building is well known from other cities of the Roman empire. The one at Pompeii was located at the north-east corner of the forum and dates to 150-100 BC. It consisted of colonnaded shops around the walls of the building. In the centre of the central open space was a tholos in which there was a pool, a

---

10This is how the inscription is published by Kent, op. cit., 139-40, no. 353.
11See W. Leaf, BSA 22 (1916-18) 37 ff.
12As suggested by Kent, op. cit.
quantity of fish scales was found, suggesting that this space was probably used for the sale of fish. In addition to stalls, there were a range of other rooms in the building, including shrines of the emperor. The walls were decorated with lavish paintings, such as that showing Argos and Io.\textsuperscript{17} Another \textit{macellum}, dating to 8 BC, was at Lepcis Magna.\textsuperscript{18} This was equipped with two octagonal \textit{tholoi} in the central courtyard. The appearance of a \textit{macellum} is known through the image of the \textit{Macellum Magnum} built by Nero on the Caelian Hill at Rome which was used on a \textit{dupondius}.\textsuperscript{19} These show the \textit{tholos} which has both an upper and a lower storey, and the building stood on a podium reached by a flight of steps. Given that the Corinthian \textit{macellum} had the facility for fish within the complex, it is likely that it would have been a colonnaded building perhaps with a \textit{tholos} in the central area.\textsuperscript{20}

\textsuperscript{17} R. Ling, \textit{Roman Painting} (Cambridge, CUP 1991) 130, fig. 133.


\textsuperscript{20} J.B. Ward-Perkins, (‘From Republic to Empire: Reflections on the early provincial architecture of the Roman West, \textit{JRS} 60 (1970) 15-16, 18 fig. 19,) notes that the North Market at Corinth was of the Pompeian type without a \textit{tholos}.