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Summary

The metaphor of ‘father’ played a significant role in the pastoral practice of Paul. There is evidence that a major line of Paul’s use of paternal imagery can be reliably traced back into the Old Testament and early Jewish tradition, namely the correction of his converts in 2 Corinthians 1-9. This finding suggests that Paul appears to owe much more to his ancient Jewish environment for his use of the paternal metaphor than has often been assumed, and sheds light on the nature and importance of Paul’s fatherly correction in his pastoral care of the Corinthian community.

I. Introduction

From where did Paul derive his understanding and use of his fatherly role for his pastoral practice? Ernest Best is pessimistic in answer to this question and concludes: ‘It is impossible… to determine from where Paul derived the image [of fatherhood] in relation to himself’.¹ In contrast, A.J. Malherbe is more optimistic, and advocates the background of the moral philosophers in the Greco-Roman world as the proper antecedent for understanding his familial role of father, as well as nurse in 1 Thessalonians.² Different still is E.M. Lassen’s of

---

¹E. Best, Paul and His Converts (Edinburgh: T. & T. Clark, 1988) 35. Best’s conclusions may be premature. He devotes less than four pages (32-35) to a discussion of possible antecedents for his pastoral familial roles.

imperial propaganda in Greco-Roman tradition to shed light on Paul’s use of the father concept in 1 Corinthians 4:14-21.3

Lacking in all this is any consideration of the Old Testament and Jewish paternal tradition as a potential source or influence on Paul’s use of the metaphor ‘father’. That this Jewish background exerted some influence on Paul is nonetheless likely, in view of two initial factors: (1) Scripture and Jewish traditions seem to have played a significant role in shaping Paul’s thinking in general,4 and (2) the ‘father’ metaphor seems to have had some currency already in early Christianity, such as in Hebrews 12:5-6, where the metaphor is supported by a Scriptural citation. Our short study, therefore, will analyse the possibility that Paul may have been dependent upon Scriptural and early Jewish sources for his understanding and administering of fatherly correction or discipline in his pastoral work.5 Looking particularly at 2 Corinthians 1-9, we hope to show that Paul’s vocabulary echoes the Jewish sapiential tradition, and that this tradition provides for a closer and more adequate background for Paul’s use of the father metaphor than those currently on offer.

II. Old Testament Material

The picture of fatherhood painted in the Old Testament is especially one of giving direction. As is the case with 2 Corinthians, direction in Old Testament material was often provided by a father through the function of correcting his children. The discipline or correction given


5Two other roles described in the Old Testament and early Judaism that have important pastoral connotations include: (1) the supportive role of a mother; (2) the reciprocal role of a brother. The presence of these two familial roles in 2 Corinthians will be explored fully in the author’s forthcoming Ph.D. thesis, ‘Paul’s Pastoral Practice against its Jewish Background’ (University of Aberdeen).
by sapiential leaders as fictive fathers, for instance, is a prime example (Pr. 1:8; 4:1; 6:20; 15:5; 30:17). These father-like sages make frequent reference to the need to ‘correct with care’ (Pr. 13:24), to give ‘reproof’ (1:25; 10:17) and to ‘rebuke openly’ (27:5) their spiritual children with a ‘rod for discipline’ (22:15; cf. Pr. 10:13; 23:13, 14). This right to discipline is founded on the premise that fathers (whether fictive or otherwise) were to be obeyed. So, for instance, we read: ‘A wise son is obedient to his father, but a disobedient son will be chastised severely’ (Pr. 13:1; cf. 15:5; 29:15; 30:17).

Furthermore, the discipline of spiritual children by figurative fathers was seen to be a vital part of how the father figure, as pastoral leader, was to love members of their communities. Thus, Yahweh is compared to a caring father and said to ‘correct those he loves’ and ‘scourge every son whom he receives’ (Pr. 3:12). This analogy of Yahweh’s care as a father who rebukes in love becomes personalised in his relationship with King Solomon: ‘I will be a father to him and he will be a son to Me; when he commits iniquity, I will correct him with the rod of men and the strokes of the sons of men’ (2 Sa. 7:14; cf. Dt. 8:5; 32:6, 19; Mal. 1:6; 2:1-3).

III. Jewish Material

Many Jewish pastoral leaders during the Second Temple period build on this Scriptural tradition and, in their pastoral task of correcting and disciplining their disciples, make use of the father/child imagery. For example, rabbis are depicted as spiritual fathers (m. Mak. 2:3; m. Ed. 6C.R. Fontaine, ‘The Sage in Family’ in J.H. Gammie & L.G. Perdue (eds.), The Sage in Israel and the Ancient Near East (Winona Lake: Eisenbrauns, 1990) 161; J.L. Crenshaw, ‘The Sage in Proverbs’ in The Sage in Israel, 212.


and, on the basis of the Scriptural wisdom tradition, confront and correct their disciples (b. Arak. 16b). The Qumran group also adopts the paternal tradition and likens the role of its pastoral leaders to that of a father (1QH 7:20-2; CD 13:9) who is obligated to ‘correct’ and ‘test’ his erring children (1QS 7; 8:21-24; 1QH 2:13-14; CD 14:1). Finally, in the Psalms of Solomon, God’s discipline of the righteous is like that of a father for his ‘beloved son’ (Pss. Sol. 13:7-10; 18:3-4, 7).

This pastoral function of discipline did not involve a harsh and condemnatory spirit but, paralleling in the Biblical tradition, was motivated out of love and mercy. This is especially seen in a passage like Wisdom of Solomon 11:9-10 (cf. 1QH 9):

For when they were tried, though they were being disciplined in mercy, they learned how the ungodly were tormented when judged in wrath. For you did test them as a father does in warning, but you did examine the ungodly as a stern king does in condemnation.

In addition to this divine example of correcting in mercy, according to Philo Moses ‘corrects’ his ‘true-born sons’ not abusively but as ‘a very kindly disposed father’ (Vita Mos. 1:328). Moreover, the sapiential author of Sirach writes as a fictive father (Sir. 3:1; cf. 2:1; 4:1; 7:1) and speaks of the need to ‘discipline’, ‘whip often’ and ‘take pains’ with one’s spiritual ‘sons’, nonetheless emphasising that the motivation for this discipline is love.

---


He who loves his son will whip him often, in order that he may rejoice at the way he turns out. He who disciplines his son will profit by him… Discipline your son and take pains with him, that you may not be offended by his shamelessness (Sir. 30:1-2, 13).

IV. Paul

The concept of fatherhood played an important role in Paul’s own pastoral practice. In keeping with Jewish paternal tradition, Paul frequently employs the father/child imagery to depict certain aspects of his pastoral care, either in connection with a community as a whole or with individual converts in particular. This can be demonstrated from across the Pauline corpus. Paul exhorts, encourages and implores the Thessalonians ‘as a father would his own children’ (1 Thes. 2:11). Paul clarified to the Corinthians that they ‘might have thousands of guardians in Christ, but not more than one father and it was I who begot you in Christ Jesus’ (1 Cor. 4:15). Paul continues this father/child imagery by exhorting the Corinthians, whom he addresses as his children (1 Cor. 4:14), to imitate him (4:16). He explained to the Philippians how Timothy had served with him in the furtherance of the gospel ‘like a child serving his father’ (Phil. 2:22; cf. 1 Cor. 4:17). With the same father imagery of begetting used in Corinth, Onesimus is referred to as Paul’s ‘child, whom I have begotten in my imprisonment’ (Phm. 1:10).

More to the point for our purposes of considering the relationship of Old Testament and Jewish paternal traditions to 2 Corinthians 1-9 is 1 Corinthians 4:21. Proceeding as a spiritual father (1 Cor. 4:15), and in ways that recall imagery of the paternal ‘rod (ῥάβδος) for correction’ in Proverbs 22:15 and 2 Samuel 7:14, in 1 Corinthians 4:21 Paul warns his converts of his authority to discipline

---

13For a discussion on Paul’s use of father imagery in his pastoral practice, see P. Beasley Murray, ‘Paul as Pastor’ in Dictionary of Pastoral Care and Counselling (Nashville: Abingdon, 1991) 654-58; Best, Paul and His Converts, 34-57.
them if necessary: ‘Shall I come to you with a rod (ῥάβδος)’. This explicit warning appears in an implicit fashion in 2 Corinthians where Paul, in a fashion like that of the Jewish paternal tradition, demonstrates father-like manner by confronting, disciplining and testing his spiritual children who had veered from God’s will (2 Cor. 2:3-9; 7:8-10; 10:6). Although Paul expected his Corinthian children to be obedient (ὑπήκοος, 2 Cor. 2:9; cf. Pr. 13:1) and a cause for his rejoicing, (εὐφραίνων, 2 Cor. 2:2; cf. εὐφρανθῇ, Sir 30:1), they had instead proven ‘to be a cause of distress to their spiritual father’ (2 Cor. 2:1-4) because of some form of disobedience.

While many scholars find 2 Corinthians to be ‘a sharp letter of rebuke and warning from the apostle’, F.F. Bruce finds it to be a stinging letter no doubt, but one composed, as Paul himself says, ‘out of much affliction and anguish of heart and with many tears’ (2 Cor. 2:3-4). Although A. Schlatter finds it difficult to believe that Paul’s rebuke can be considered an expression of his love, nonetheless Paul’s manner shows striking affinity with Proverbs 3:12, Sirach 30:1, and Wisdom of Solomon 11:9-10 when as a father he wrote and confronted his children not out of anger or wrath, but because he loved them. Paul himself states: ‘I wrote… that you might know the

15 M.J. Harris, ‘2 Corinthians’ in F.E. Gaebelin (ed.), The Expositor’s Bible Commentary (Grand Rapids: Zondervan, 1976) 327.
abundant love which I have for you’ (2:4). Paul might have used one of many words to speak of love here: φιλία or filial love, φιλανθρωπία or benevolent love, φιλοφρόνως or cordial love, φιλαδελφία or brotherly love. But instead of any of these, he chose the term ἀγάπη or self-giving love, echoing fatherly sages (ἀγαπᾷ, Pr. 3:12; ἀγαπῶν, Sir. 30:1). Since Paul had been badly mistreated by the Corinthians (2:2-4), to continue to seek what was best for them required no ordinary love but one that was willing to look to the interest of others and not of oneself. Like a Jewish fatherly tradition of care, it was this ‘sacrificial love’ for his children that both tempered and motivated Paul’s pastoral correction. Therefore, to confront the Corinthians when they sinned was not an option for Paul as a parent, but an expression of his pastoral duty to love.

Furthermore, the effects of Paul’s disciplining love for the Corinthians initially resulted in their affliction (2:2, 4; 7:8-9). Paul does not attempt to hide this issue, but speaks of the Corinthians’ own ‘mourning’ (7:7), and ‘sorrow’ (2:2, 5; 7:8-9) that had been caused by him. Rather than avoiding confrontational situations, Paul, like a good Jewish father, appropriately took the hard step of disciplining his children which led to their discomfort and even pain. However, Paul

stands in a prominent place in this sentence since it is his deeply felt concern for the church’s good and for the individual offender (8-10) that really motivated the letter’ (2 Corinthians, 36).


is not, like the Greco-Roman philosophers, impassive or distant when he corrects; instead, like the sapiential fathers (Sir. 3:12; 30:5), he is himself distressed and sorrowful (2 Cor. 2:1-4). Yet, it must be understood that the goal of Paul’s rebuke was not the alleviation of pain, whether his own or that of the Corinthians. Instead, confrontation was to lead to their ‘repentance’, which would result in their restored relationship both with God (7:9) and with Paul (7:12). Thus, Paul’s pastoral function of correcting as an act of love, echoing the Jewish tradition, was absolutely necessary for the Corinthians’ growth and maturity in the faith, and was a vital part of his pastoral care towards them.

Nonetheless, the overlap between Paul and the Greco-Roman concept of father should not be doubted. The philosopher Epicurus was addressed as ‘father’ by his community of disciples. The head of the Roman family was viewed as paterfamilias. Cicero compared himself with a mild father (Cicero, De domo 94). Caesar and Augustus were designated with the title, ‘Father of the country’. And in the religious realm, the gods Saturn, Jupiter, Mars, Neptune and Zeus were frequently addressed as father. We do not deny that there is congruity between Paul, moral philosophy and the Roman tradition, but seek to stress the likelihood that Paul received his pastoral paternal imagery from his Jewish heritage, where pastoral leaders were depicted in terms of a father whose care for his children

---

24 See Martin, 2 Corinthians, 228; F.F. Bruce, 1 and 2 Corinthians (Grand Rapids: Eerdmans, 1990) 218-19.
includes correction. Paul’s debt to the Old Testament imagery of fatherhood, which influenced Early Judaism, seems to be more significant than has often been recognised.

V. Conclusion

Three lessons may be deduced from this short study concerning Paul’s role as ‘father’ in his pastoral practice in Corinth. First, from the picture of pastoral care derived from 2 Corinthians 1-9, the paternal practice of giving direction in the form of confronting-love seems integral to a Biblical model of care. Second, despite Ernest Best’s view that ‘it is impossible… to determine from where Paul derived the image [of fatherhood] in relation to himself’, and despite the neglect of scholars to consider Jewish antecedents to Paul’s pastoral role as father, the evidence examined above reveals a close affinity between Paul’s fatherly correction in 2 Corinthians and that of his Jewish predecessors. Accordingly, Paul’s role as father, at least in 2 Corinthians, appears to be best understood along the lines of a Jewish paternal tradition of care. Third, the close affinity between Proverbs 3:12; Sirach 30:1; Wisdom of Solomon 11:9-10 and 2 Corinthians 2:4 underscores the importance of both the Scriptures and the literature of Early Judaism for the study of the origins of Paul’s pastoral practice.
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30Best, *Paul and His Converts*, 35.